# Application of Recurrence Quantification to the Analysis of Brain Electrical Activity

Andrew A. Marino, PhD Professor, Department of Neurology LSU Medical School Shreveport, LA

# Objectives

- Brain structural complexity
- Recurrence analysis & brain electrical activity
- Applications

#### Master Control Function of Brain



#### **Brain Structural Complexity**



### **Brain Functional Complexity**



# Standardized Scalp Locations and Labels Used for EEG Measurements



•Circled locations used for results presented here (particularly C3)

# Nonstationarity in the Human EEG (Normalized Histogram of 1-sec EEG Epochs)



<sup>\*</sup>First zero of the autocorrelation function

# History of Brain Recurrence Analysis



# Definition of Analysis of Brain Recurrence (ABR)



## Elements of Analysis of Brain Recurrence



#### **Recurrence Variables in ABR**



#### **Experimental Designs in ABR**



# Statistical Considerations in ABR

- Statistical tests
  - Paired t test
  - Wilcoxon signed rank
- Statistical significance
  - Pair-wise
  - Family-wise
- Statistical methods
  - Linear discriminant analysis
  - Receiver operating characteristics
  - Support vector machines

### Inability of Linear Method to Detect Nonlinear Determinism



# Recurrence Analysis of Model Systems



# Initial Detection of EPs in Rabbits using ABR





### Average Results for %R and %D in Each of Ten Rabbits



# Experimental Arrangement for Human Studies of Evoked Potentials



#### Statistical Basis for Observations of Evoked Potentials

How does recurrence analysis detect transient changes in the EEG?

# Detection of Nonlinear Magnetosensory Evoked Potentials in Human Subjects



#### Detecting EPs Triggered by Brief Stimuli (Standard Clinical Procedure)



#### Magnetosensory Evoked Potentials

	Stimulus			%R	%D	%R	%D	All	No.	Family-Wise
Subject	(Hz)	%R	%D	(8–10Hz)	(8–10Hz)	(9–12Hz)	(9–12Hz)	Effects	Tests	Error
S1	60	O1 C4 P4	_	_	_	_	_	O1 C4 P4	6	0.001
(30F)	30	O2 C3	O2 C3	_	_	_	_	O2 O2 C3 C3	12	0.001
S2	60	02	O2 P3	_	_	_	_	O2 O2 P3	12	0.004
(54M)	30	01	Х	C4	O1	_	_	O1 O1 C4	23	0.022
<b>S</b> 3	60	Х	C4 P3	Х	01	_	_	O1 C4 P3	22	0.047
(23M)	30	P3	P3	O2, C4	_	_	_	O2 C4 P3 P3	17	0.004
<b>S</b> 4	60	01	01	C4	_	_	_	01 01 C4	17	0.009
(22M)	30	C3	C3	01	_	_	_	O1 C3 C3	17	0.025
<b>S</b> 5	60	Х	х	01	01	C3	_	01 01 C3	29	0.042
(51F)	30	O1 P3	P3	_	_	_	_	O1 P3 P3	12	0.01
S6	60	C4	C4	Х	х	P4	_	C4 C4 P4	27	0.14
(23M)	30	Х	O1	Х	Х	O2 P3 P	4 —	O1 O2 P3 P4	29	0.017
<b>S</b> 7	60	Х	х	O1 O2 C4 P3	P4 —	_	_	O1 O2 C4 P3 F	4 18	0.001
(29F)	30	C4	C4	C3	_	_	_	C3 C4 C4	17	0.046

- •
- .

22

# Arrangements for Detecting Presence of Neurological Disease



# Onset-Induced Evoked Potentials in Patients with Multiple Sclerosis

#### Subjects with multiple sclerosis

Subject	EEG Derivations	Family-Wise Error
1 (40)	_	_
2 (34)	_	_
3 (52)	_	_
4 (32)	O1 O2 C3 C3 C4	0.003
5 (19)	_	—
6 (30)	O2 O2 C3	0.029
7 (18)	_	_
8 (27)	C3 C4 P4	0.029
9 (50)	_	—
10 (31)	_	—
11 (38)	_	_

#### Controls (no medical complaints)

Subject	EEG Derivations	Family-Wise Error
1 (51)	O2 O2 C3	0.031
2 (66)	O2 C3 C3 P4	0.001
3 (22)	—	_
4 (26)	C3 C4 C4 P3	0.001
5 (23)	C3 C4 P4	0.001
6 (23)	C3 C3 C4 C4	0.001
7 (23)	O1 C3 C3 P3	0.004
8 (46)	O1 O1 C3	0.005
9 (23)	O1 O2 C4 C4 P3 P4	0.000
10 (25)	P3 P3 P4	0.084

#### **Explication of Presence Effect**



#### **Detection of the Presence Effect**

Sound Stimulus							
Subject	Age/Gender	%D	%R	V <sub>rms</sub>			
<b>S</b> 1	30/M	O1 C3 C4 P3	O1 C3 C4 P3				
S2	45/M	O1 O2 C3 C4 P3 P4	O2 C3 C4 P3 P4	_			
<b>S</b> 3	23/F	O1 O2 C4 P4	_	_			
<b>S</b> 4	<b>29/F</b>	_	C3 C4 P4	_			
S5	28/F	O1 C3 C4 P3	O1 P4	O1 C3 C4 P3			
		Field Stimu	ılus				
Subject	Age/Gender	%D	%R	V <sub>rms</sub>			
<u>S6</u>	18/F	O1 C3 C4 P3 P4					
<b>S</b> 7	30/M	O1 O2 C3 C4 P3 P4	O1 P3 P4	—			
<b>S</b> 8	50/F	O1 O2 C3 C4 P3 P4	—	—			
<b>S</b> 9	<b>49/F</b>	O1 O2 C3 C4 P3 P4	O1 O2 P3 P4	—			
S10	<b>46</b> /F	O1 C3 C4 P3	—	—			
		Light Stimu	ılus				
Subject	Age/Gender	%D	%R	V <sub>rms</sub>			
S11	51/F	C3 P4	_	_			
S12	29/M	—	—	—			
S13	50/M	—	O1 C4	C4 P4			
S14	46/F	O1 O2 P3 P4	_				

O1 C4

S15

31/F

#### Experimental Design for Detecting Effect of Cell-Phone Pulse on EEG



#### Evoked Potentials in Subjects Exposed to Cell-Phone Pulse

			%R	% D	%R	%D	All	No.	Family-Wise
Subject	%R	%D	(8–10 Hz)	<u>(8–10 Hz)</u>	(9–12 Hz)	(9–12 Hz)	Effects	Tests	Error
S1 (24/M)	P4	01	X	X	O1 O2	_	O1 O1 O2 P4	27	0.010
S2 (53/F)	C4	C4	C3 P3	_	_	_	C3 C4 C4 P3	17	0.002
S3 (22/F)	C3	C3 P3	—	_	—	_	C3 C3 P3 P3	12	0.001
S4 (22/M)	P3	_	_	_	_	_	C3 C4 P3	6	0.001
S5 (22/F)	C3 C4 P3	Х	Х	O1 O2	_	_	<mark>O1</mark> O1 O2	23	0.081
S6 (43/F)	<b>O</b> 1	C4	_	_	_	_	<b>O1 C4 C4</b>	12	0.006
S7 (22/F)	O1 C4	P4	O2	O2	—	_	<mark>O2</mark> O2 P4	23	0.031
S8 (50/F)	Х	Х	Х	O2	P3 P4	_	O2 P3 P4	30	0.062
S9 (62/M)	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	C4	C4	36	NE
S10 (18/F)	Х	Х	C3	C3	Х	O2	O2 C3 C3	34	0.078

•

-

•

## Mechanistic Basis of EMF Detection Studied using ABR



#### Public Health and Mechanistic Issues Involving EMF Detection using ABR



# Data Routinely Obtained During an Overnight Sleep Study



### Application of ABR to Sleep EEG



#### Averages of %R and %D During Sleep (N = 20)



## Relation between EEG Recurrence and Severity of Sleep Apnea



N = 10 in each group

#### LDA & AUROC of ABR Markers from EEG



#### Abbreviations:

AUROC Area under receiver operating characteristics curve

LDA Linear discriminant analysis

#### Results for Diagnosing Apnea (Binary Classification)



### Use of Support Vector Machines to Diagnose the Severity of Apnea



# Comparison of Time-Delay and Spatial Embedding of Sleep EEG



Systems that Produce Time-Series Data Conclusion

Methods for Analyzing / Time-Series Data



